

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,600	09/18/2003	Robert J. Nealon	LUC-421/Nealon 2	8545	
32205 Carmen Patti I	7590 07/14/2010 aw Group , LLC	EXAMINER			
ONE N. LASALLE STREET			ROBERTS, BRIAN S		
44TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
cincrico, in	. 00002		2466		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/14/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

_								
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/666,600	NEALON, ROBERT J.						
	Examiner	Art Unit						
	BRIAN ROBERTS	2466						

	BRIAN ROBERTS	2466				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 20 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>N The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
(a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. (b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. It no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (e) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended from: (1) the expiration date of the stel for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed will AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
	A service to the state of Change being					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further con</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett</li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);				
appeal; and/or			ie issues ioi			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. \( \bigcirc \) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) \( \bigcirc \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed to:  Claim(s) rejected to:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (I	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Daniel J. Ryman/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2466						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant's arguments are not convincing. The Examiner has reviewed the record and believes the rejection of claims 1-14 to be proper.

On pg. 19 of the Remarks, the applicant contends that the Examiner has not provided reasonable support for invoking inherency.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees. The Examiner has provided reasoning tending to show that an allegedly inherent feature necessarily flows from the teachings of the applied art. In the office action 04/20/2010, the Examiner provided that "then hinterntly not a fixed relationship between external PVCs and the transcoder channels because the transcoder channels are setup dynamically between the AAL2s and DSPs".

On pg. 20 of the Remarks, the applicant contends that it is only with hindsight of applicant's invention that one that would seek out these references and arrived at some combination of the four references that would seem to anticipate the claimed invention.

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge qleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper.

On pg. 20 of the Remarks, the applicant contends that the first reference already provides for connection set up a communication system for a plurality of first processing units on a plurality of second processing units. The first reference provides for control of such procedures. Therefore one of skill in the art would not necessarily took to the second reference for providing a central controller to assign the CID's individual DSP channels and control switching to the DSPs. If one skill in the art was already taught control free first reference, then one would not have a reason to proceed to look to the second reference for control. Thus, the independent claims of the present application are neither articipated nor obvious in view of any combination of the cited references.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees. As recited in the office action dated 04/20/2010 the motivation to modify the system and method of Paajanen et al. to include the Resource Manager and AAL2 connection control being located in a single packet switch control wherein the single packet switch control effects switching of individual packets from the external PVCs and to internal PVCs as suggested by Jarl is that it provides for one central controller to assign both the CIDs to the individual DSP channels.